

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/463,586	VALLERI, MAURIZIO
	Examiner Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D	Art Unit 1615

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D.

(3) _____.

(2) James Costigan.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 February 2006 ; 2 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

pending claims

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Tthe examiner suggested the following in order to place the claims in condition for allowance. 1) the introduction of 'granular' in claims 1 and 19-20; 2) introduce molecular weight of polyethylene glycol in claim 7 ; 3) cancel claims 9-10, 13-14 and 21-22; the attorney proposed the introduction of the mol. weight of PEG as 300-400 in claim 7 and change the dependency of 18 from 16 to 17. These changes will be done by an examiner amendment.. ..